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Abstract

The paper is a critical interaction with Stephen Schiffer’s recent views on Vagueness, exploring, inter alia, points on which we disagree concerning his notion of vagueness-related partial belief, whether borderline cases are subject to Verdict Exclusion, Schiffer’s criticisms of my own 'Liberalism', the resources the ‘quandary view’ outlined in my (2001) has for the characterisation of vagueness, and the proper logic for vague languages.
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